



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/023,990	12/21/2001	John Seibel	41286 8142		
75	90 03/02/2005	EXAMINER			
Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P.			LU, KUEN S		
Suite 600					
1300 19th Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20036			2167		
			D. WE MAN ED 03/03/0300	DATE MAIL ED. 02/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/023,990		SEIBEL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kuen S Lu		2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 October 2004.							
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								

Art Unit: 2167

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendments

been noted and accepted. The objection to the Abstract is thus withdrawn.

1. The Action is responsive to the Applicant's Amendments, filed on October 22, 2004.

- 2. The Applicant's amendments made to the claims 1-2, 4, 11-12, 14, 18, 24 and 35 are noted. The amendment to the Abstract wherein the term "invention" was removed, has
- 3. New issue was raised when "ballot" was amended to "paper ballot" in the limitation of each of independent claims 1, 11, 18, 24 and 35. Concerning the new issue, the Examiner has introduced a new section from the originally cited references to reject amended claims accordingly in the Office Action for the Final Rejection. Please note the Examiner maintains the same grounds as set forth in the Office Action for non-Final Rejection, dated July 8, 2004 for rejecting all claims in the Office Action for the Final Rejection, excepting for the limitations which were amended by replacing "ballot" with "paper ballot" for which an additional section of the original reference was cited.
- **4.** As for the Applicant's Remarks on claim rejections, filed on October 22, 2004, has been fully considered by the Examiner, please see discussion in the section **Response to Arguments**, following the Office Action for Final Rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

**5.** The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-3 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Chung (U.S. Publication 2004/0046021).

As per Claims 1 and 11, Chung teaches the following:

"storing a database of voter records, each voter record comprising at least one voter characteristic, based on said at least one voter characteristic, generating a paper ballot" at Page1, [0015] and 17, [0144] where paper ballots are utilized and voter eligibility is stored in a database, and at Page 19, [0155], lines 15-22 where the voting options is stored in a database for being utilized to generate the ballots.

As per Claims 2 and 12, Chung teaches "based on said at least one voter characteristic, determining a set of positions and issues for which a voter is eligible to vote" at Page 1, [0015] where paper ballots are utilized, Page 19, [0155], lines 10-22 where verified voter identifier is utilized by the ballot generating logic to produce for the voter the particular combination of general ballot voting screens and criteria-specific ballot voting screens for that particular voter in the particular election, and "generating a ballot comprising said set of positions and issues" at Page 19, [0155], lines 15-22 where voting options relating to each office and/or question is stored in database and utilized by the ballot generation logic in generating the ballots.

Art Unit: 2167

As per Claims 3 and 13, Chung teaches "marketing the voter record associated with said generated ballot as voted" at Page 19, [0155], lines 10-22 where verified voter identifier is utilized by the ballot generating logic to produce for the voter the particular combination of general ballot voting screens and criteria-specific ballot voting screens for that particular voter in the particular election.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 24-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by McClure et al.
   Patent 6,250,548, hereafter "McClure").

As per Claims 24 and 35, McClure teaches the following:

"a scanner adapted to generate a computer readable visual representation of a voted paper ballot" at col. 1, lines 18-44 where paper ballots are utilized, col. 43, lines 37-59 by scanning the voter and the voter is assigned the specific ballot styles; "a processor adapted to generate vote data based on said visual representation" at col. 42, lines 59-65 by showing voting tablet is ready for the voter to make his/her selections; and

"visual representation being associated with said vote data and said voted ballot" at col. 42, line 65 – col. 43, line 16 where voting styles according to each voter is displayed for his/her selection.

As per Claim 25 and 36, McClure teaches "a display device adapted to display at least one said visual representation and said vote data associated therewith" at col. 42, lines 65-67 where voting tablet displays governor selection is the starting of the ballot selection and cast, and at col. 42, lines 60-67 by showing the voting steps in the voting booth and voting tablet illuminating and displaying message for starting the voting and casting process.

As per Claims 26 and 37, McClure teaches "mark said voted ballot with a unique ballot identification" at col. 43, lines 24-31 by showing cast ballot and voter identification are linked together before ballot is selected and cast.

As per Claims 27 and 38, McClure teaches "associate said unique ballot identification with said vote data and said visual representation of said voted ballot" at col. 42, line 65 col. 43, line 16 where voting styles according to each voter is displayed for his/her selection.

As per Claims 28 and 39, McClure teaches "a storage device for storing

said vote data and said visual representation" at col. 43, lines 32-35 and col. 44, lines 1-5 where the readable cast ballot is moved into the primary storage location at the voting site and later transmitted to a central computer to store, and at col. 9, lines 5-6 where commercial database for storing cast ballot includes relation databases.

As per Claims 29 and 40, McClure teaches "said storage device comprises a database" at col. 43, lines 32-35 and col. 44, lines 1-5 where the readable cast ballot is moved into the primary storage location at the voting site and later transmitted to a central computer to store, and at col. 9, lines 5-6 where commercial database for storing cast ballot includes relation databases.

As per Claims 30 and 41, McClure teaches "wherein said storage device comprises a relational database" at col. 43, lines 32-35 and col. 44, lines 1-5 where the readable cast ballot is moved into the primary storage location at the voting site and later transmitted to a central computer to store, and at col. 9, lines 5-6 where commercial database for storing cast ballot includes relation databases.

As per Claims 31 and 42, McClure teaches "a display device, wherein said processor is adapted to retrieve said visual representations and said associated vote data, and to display said visual representation and said vote data on said display device" at col. 42, lines 65-67 where voting tablet displays governor selection is the starting of the ballot selection/cast, and at col. 42, lines 60-67 by showing the voting steps in the voting

booth and voting tablet illuminating and displaying message for starting the voting/casting process.

As per Claim 32, McClure teaches "retrieving at least one of a plurality of records from said storage device, each record comprising vote data and a visual representation of a voted ballot" at col. 44, lines 15-21 where voting data can be traced to the voting tablet level from the backup copy of the voted ballots.

As per Claim 33, McClure teaches "processor is adapted to modify said vote data" at col. 43, lines 25-30 where voter can move his/her selections before finally casting by pressing the ballot cast button.

As per Claims 34 and 43 McClure teaches "modify said vote data based on a review of the voted ballot associated with said unique ballot identification in said vote data" col. 43, lines 25-30 where voter can move his/her selections before finally casting by pressing the ballot cast button.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **9.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim

Application/Control Number: 10/023,990

Art Unit: 2167

that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

**10.** Claims 4-7, 10 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung (U.S. Publication 2004/0046021), as applied to Claims 1-3 and 11-13 above, and in view of McClure et al. (U.S. Patent 6,250,548, hereafter "McClure").

As per Claims 4 and 14, Chung teaches generating particular ballot to particular voter based on the particular voter's eligibility as described earlier in Item 2.

Chung does not specifically teach generating ballot into specific two portions and mailing the portions with return envelope to voters, although Chung teaches paper ballots at Page 1, [0015].

However, McClure teaches "generating said paper ballot comprising a voter associated portion and an anonymous portion" at col. 31, lines 51-52 where ballot is mailed to voter itself suggests voter associated portion is generated and printed for delivering the ballot by mail, and "mailing said ballot to a voter identified in said voter associated portion, together with an anonymous envelope and a return envelope" at Fig. 26, elements 180-184 and col. 31, lines 51-67 showing the absentee ballot consisting of top and bottom sheets is mailed to the voter where the top sheet and the mailing information of the voter is the voter associated portion, while the bottom sheet is the anonymous portion to be mailed back by the voter by using the return envelope as enclosed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

applicant's invention was made to combine McClure's reference with Chung's by printing voter information and his/her particular options combined but anonymous ballot separately or detachably such that the ballot could have been sent back without showing the voter's identification as commonly practiced by public opinion poll where pollster is only interested in "public" opinion by the some categories of people polled.

As per Claims 5 and 17, McClure further teaches "generated ballot comprises means for separating said voter associated portion and said anonymous portion" at Fig. 26, elements 180-184 and col. 31, lines 51-60 where the top sheet is removed, by using perforated edges, from the bottom sheet which is the ballot to be mailed back to the headquarter.

As per Claim 6, McClure further teaches "anonymous portion is adapted to be inserted into said anonymous envelope" at Fig. 26 and col. 31, lines 51-60 by showing absentee ballot is sent by the mail and at col. 31, lines 40-45 where all portions and return envelope fit in an outer envelope tied together by perorated edges.

As per Claim 7, McClure further teaches "anonymous envelope is adapted to be enclosed in said return envelope" at Fig. 26 and col. 31, lines 51-60 by showing absentee ballot is sent by the mail and at col. 31, lines 40-45 where all portions, including the ballot, and the return envelope fit in an outer envelope tied together by perorated edges.

Art Unit: 2167

As per Claim 10, McClure further teaches "return envelope is addressed to a vote receiving location" at col. 31, Claim 31-60 where the return envelope is to be returned to the headquarter.

As per claim 15, McClure further teaches "a return envelope and an anonymous envelope" at col. 31, lines 51-52 where ballot is mailed to voter itself suggests voter associated portion is generated and printed for delivering the ballot by mail, and "mailing said ballot to a voter identified in said voter associated portion, together with an anonymous envelope and a return envelope" at Fig. 26, elements 180-184 and col. 31, lines 51-67 showing the absentee ballot consisting of top and bottom sheets is mailed to the voter where the top sheet and the mailing information of the voter is the voter associated portion, while the bottom sheet is the anonymous portion to be mailed back by the voter by using the return envelope as enclosed.

As per Claim 16, McClure further teaches "anonymous portion of said ballot is adapted to be inserted into said anonymous envelope" at Fig. 26 and col. 31, lines 51-60 by showing absentee ballot is sent by the mail and at col. 31, lines 40-45 where all portions and return envelope fit in an outer envelope tied together by perorated edges, and "said anonymous envelope is adapted to be inserted into said return envelope" at Fig. 26 and col. 31, lines 51-60 by showing absentee ballot is sent by the mail and at

col. 31, lines 40-45 where all portions, including the ballot, and the return envelope fit in an outer envelope tied together by perorated edges.

11. Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung (U.S. Publication 2004/0046021) and in view of McClure et al. (U.S. Patent 6,250,548, hereafter "McClure").

As per Claim 18, Chung teaches "marketing each of a plurality of voted paper ballots with a unique ballot identification" at Page 1, [0015] where paper ballots are utilized, Page 19, [0155], lines 10-22 where verified voter identifier is utilized by the ballot generating logic to produce for the voter the particular combination of general ballot voting screens and criteria-specific ballot voting screens for that particular voter in the particular election.

Chung does not specifically teach "scanning said plurality of voted ballots and generating computer readable visual representations of each of said ballots".

However, McClure teaches voter eligibility is validated by scanning the voter and the voter is assigned the specific ballot styles at col. 43, lines 37-59.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention was made to combine McClure's reference with Chung's by performing voter scanning, validating voter and creating voter styles accordingly in one series of combined operations because by doing so a proper combination of voting

Application/Control Number: 10/023,990

Art Unit: 2167

01// 00/10/11 (11/10/01/10/02/03/00

styles for each voter could have been created accordingly for proving a correct eligibility for voter immediately before he/she stepping in a voting booth to cast his/her ballot.

McClure further teaches the following:

"generating vote data associated with each of said plurality of voted ballots based on said visual representations" at col. 42, lines 59-65 by showing voting tablet is ready for the voter to make his/her selections; and

"associating each said visual representation and corresponding vote data with said voted ballot based on said unique ballot identification" at col. 42, line 65 – col. 43, line 16 where voting styles according to each voter is displayed for his/her selection.

As per Claim 19, McClure further teaches "vote data comprises said unique ballot identification" at col. 43, lines 24-31 by showing cast ballot and voter identification are linked together before ballot is selected and cast.

As per Claim 20, McClure further teaches "storing said computer readable visual representation and said vote data in a database" at col. 43, lines 32-35 and col. 44, lines 1-5 where the readable cast ballot is moved into the primary storage location at the voting site and later transmitted to a central computer to store.

As per Claim 21, McClure further teaches "storing said computer readable visual representation and said vote data in a relational database" at col. 9, lines 5-6 where commercial database for storing cast ballot includes relation databases.

As per Claim 22, McClure further teaches the following:

"retrieving at least one of said computer readable visual representations" at col. 42, lines 65-67 where voting tablet displays governor selection is the starting of the ballot selection/cast:

"displaying said visual representation and said vote data associated therewith on a display device" at col. 42, lines 60-67 by showing the voting steps in the voting booth and voting tablet illuminating and displaying message for starting the voting/casting process and "modifying said vote data associated therewith" at col. 43, lines 25-30 where voter can move his/her selections before finally casting by pressing the ballot cast button.

As per Claim 23, McClure further teaches the following:

"retrieving at least one of said computer readable visual representations" at col. 42, lines 65-67 where voting tablet displays governor selection is the starting of the ballot selection/cast;

"displaying said visual representation and said vote data associated therewith on a display device" at col. 42, lines 60-67 by showing the voting steps in the voting booth and voting tablet illuminating and displaying message for starting the voting/casting process;

"retrieving the voted ballot associated with said visual representation based on said unique ballot identification" at col. 43, lines 24-31 by showing cast ballot and voter identification are linked together before ballot is selected and cast; and "modifying said vote data associated with said voted ballot and said visual representation" at col. 43, lines 25-30 where voter can move his/her selections before finally casting by pressing the ballot cast button.

**12.** Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung (U.S. Publication 2004/0046021) and in view of McClure et al. (U.S. Patent 6,250,548, hereafter "McClure"), as applied to Claim 4 above, and further in view of Jenkins (U.S. Patent 4,776,510).

As per Claim 8, the combined McClure-Chung reference teaches generating ballot with separable portions and sending the portions with return envelope to the voters.

The combined reference does not specifically teach enclosing envelope and some additional material into another envelope.

However, Jenkins teaches "voter associated portion is adapted to be enclosed in said return envelope out side of said anonymous envelope, said anonymous portion is adapted to be enclosed in said anonymous envelope, and said anonymous envelope is adapted to be enclosed in said return envelope" at Fig. 2 and "SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION" by teaching a method for incorporating return envelope and material in a two-part mailer.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention was made to combine Jenkins and McClure's references with Chung's by printing anonymous ballot and voter associated information, sending and enclosing this mailing materials with return envelope logically such that the package could have been received and handled logically and effectively by the receiving voters in a way as regularly practiced by pollsters for polling public opinions.

As per Claim 9, McClure teaches "receiving said return envelope, separating said anonymous envelope from said voter associated portion, and marking the voter record associated with the voter identified in said voter associated portion as voted" at col. 31, lines 51-60 by showing instructions for performing steps of receiving ballot package, separating mailing materials, marking the ballot, placing voted ballot into return envelope and sending back to the headquarter.

#### Response to the Arguments

- **13.** The Applicants' arguments filed on October 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, for the Examiner's response, please see discussion below.
- a). At Pages 11-18, the Applicant argued that the Chung and McClure references do not teach "paper ballot" as the amended claims teach.

As to the above argument, the Examiner respectfully disagreed. Concerning this new issue, introduced when "ballot" was replaced by "paper ballot", the Examiner has cited

additional section from the Chung and McClure references to provide the teaching of paper ballot in the Office Action for the Final Rejection.

b). At Page 15, concerning claims 1-3 and 11-13, the Applicant admitted the Chung reference is a prior art and teaches "storing a database of voter records, each voter record comprising at least one voter characteristic, based on the at least one voter characteristic, generating a ballot". However, the Applicant argued that the Application is directed to a paper ballots system and is now amended to include "paper ballots" in the claims.

As to the above argument b), the Examiner fully agreed with the Applicant. As to the amendment of "paper ballots", the Examiner has cited additional section from the Chung reference to provide the teaching of paper ballot in the Office Action for the Final Rejection, as previously described in item a). Please note the teaching of "paper ballots" or its equivalent is described in many occasions in both Chung and McClure references.

c). At Pages 13-15, concerning claims 24-43, the Applicant argued that the McClure reference does teach scanning absentee ballots, but does not teach retaining an electronic visual representation of the physical ballot.

As to the above argument c), the Examiner fully agreed with the Applicant's assessment on the McClure reference's teaching of scanning absentee ballots.

However, the Examiner respectfully disagreed that "retaining an electronic visual"

representation of the physical ballot" is not taught. Please note the purpose of electronic scanning is for obtaining a visual representation of a physical image to be retained for further processing.

d). At Pages 15-17, concerning claims 4-7, 10 and 14-23, the Applicant argued that the McClure reference does not specifically teach "generating the ballot comprising a voter associated portion and an anonymous portion" as well as "mailing said ballot to a voter identified in the voter associated portion, together with an anonymous envelope and a return envelope".

As to the above argument d), the Examiner respectfully disagreed. As the Examiner described in the Office Actions, McClure teaches "generating said ballot comprising a voter associated portion and an anonymous portion" at col. 31, lines 51-52 where ballot is mailed to voter itself suggests voter associated portion is generated and printed for delivering the ballot by mail, and "mailing said ballot to a voter identified in said voter associated portion, together with an anonymous envelope and a return envelope" at Fig. 26, elements 180-184 and col. 31, lines 51-60 showing the absentee ballot consisting of top and bottom sheets is mailed to the voter where the top sheet and the mailing information of the voter is the voter associated portion, while the bottom sheet is the anonymous portion to be mailed back by the voter by using the return envelope as enclosed. Also please note "return envelope", "sealed anonymous envelope" and multiple portions have been well practiced in the fields of mailing and registration for decades.

Application/Control Number: 10/023,990

Art Unit: 2167

e). At Page 17, concerning claim 18, the Applicant argued that the combined Chung and McClure references does not specifically teach paper ballots.

As to the above argument e), the Examiner respectfully applies the same responses as described in items a) and b).

- **14.** As to dependent claims (2-10), (12-17), (19-23), (25-34) and (36-43), which directly or indirectly depend on claims 1, 11, 18, 24 and 35, respectively, the Examiner applies the above stated arguments for the respective claim upon which they depend.
- **15.** In light of the forgoing arguments, the 35 U.S.C. ξ102 rejections for claims 1-3, 11-13 and 24-43, and 35 U.S.C. ξ103 rejections for claims 4-10 and 14-23 is hereby sustained.
- **16.** The prior art made of record
  - A. U.S. Publication 2004/0046021
  - B. U.S. Patent 6,250,548
  - C. U.S. Patent 4,776,510

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- D. U.S. Patent 5,218,528
- E. U.S. Patent 5,878,399

# **Conclusions**

#### 17. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

The Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

**18.** The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

If a reference indicated as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose telephone number is 571-272-3574 for faster service.

**19.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuen S Lu whose telephone number is 571-272-4114. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 2167

If at tempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Kuen S. Lu,

Patent Examiner

February 25, 2005

Luke Wassum

**Primary Examiner** 

February 25, 2005